EXHIBIT 4

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
               FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
2
3
     LATOYA K. BENTON,
 4
     ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE )
     OF XZAVIER D. HILL, DECEASED, )
5
                                       Civil Action No.
           Plaintiffs,
 6
                                       3:22-cv-25 HEH
     V.
 7
     SETH W. LAYTON, et al,
8
           Defendants.
9
10
11
12
13
               DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF
14
                      PERRY ALBERT BARTELS
15
                 TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
16
                     TUESDAY, JANUARY 24, 2023
17
                         HAMPTON, VIRGINIA
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

[
1 2	APPEARANCES:	THE VERBENA ASKEW LAW FIRM, P.C. BY: VERBENA M. ASKEW, ESQUIRE 2 Eaton Street, Suite 708
3		Hampton, Virginia 23669 757.722.4100
4		valawfirm@verizon.net Counsel for the Plaintiff
5		OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
6		BY: ROBERT B. MCENTEE, III, ESQUIRE CALVIN C. BROWN, ESQUIRE 202 North 9th Street
7		Richmond, Virginia 23219 804.786.8198
8		rmcenteeiii@oag.state.va.us cbrown@oag.state.va.us
9		Counsel for the Defendants
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

ſ			
1	I N D E X		
2			
3	<u>DEPONENT</u> : <u>PAGE</u> :		
4	PERRY ALBERT BARTELS		
5	Examination by Ms. Askew4		
6	Examination by Mr. Brown		
7			
8	<u>EXHIBITS</u>		
9			
10	No. 1 - Report 5		
11	No. 2 - Code of Virginia 46.2-920		
12	No. 3 - Photograph 67		
13	No. 4 - Photograph80		
14	No. 5 - Code of Virginia 19.2-83.5 100		
15	No. 6 - Report of Autopsy 124		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Deposition upon oral examination of PERRY ALBERT BARTELS, taken on behalf of the Plaintiff, before Antonio Spratley, Court Reporter, a Notary Public for the Commonwealth of Virginia at large, taken pursuant to notice, commencing at 11:00 a.m. on Tuesday, January 24, 2023, at the offices of The Verbena Askew Law Firm, P.C., 2 Eaton Street, Suite 708, Hampton, Virginia. PERRY ALBERT BARTELS was sworn and deposed on behalf of the Plaintiff as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. ASKEW: My name is Verbena Askew, and I represent Ο. Ms. LaToya Benton, who is the administrator of the estate for Xzavier D. Hill, who's the deceased in this case. I am going to ask you a series of questions and I would ask that you answer out loud, don't nod your head, don't say uh-huh or uh-uh so that he can transcribe it. I would ask also that if you need a break, that's fine, but don't take a break in the middle of a question. So, if you would state your full name? Perry Albert Bartels. Α.

```
Mr. Bartels, let's start with, where are
1
              Q.
2
     you employed now?
                    I'm currently at the Hampton Roads
3
              Α.
4
     Criminal Justice Training Academy.
                    Are you actually an employee there?
5
              Q.
                    Yes, ma'am.
              Α.
6
                    And how long have you been there?
7
              Ο.
                    Since December of 2018, a little over
              Α.
8
9
     four years.
                    Let's take a look at your --
10
              Ο.
                    MS. ASKEW: If you want to mark this,
11
     please.
12
                    (Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was
13
14
     marked.)
15
     BY MS. ASKEW:
                    Take a look at that, Mr. Bartels, and
16
     tell me if that looks to be the report that you
17
     provided in the case of LaToya K. Benton, Administrator
18
     of the Estate of Xzavier D. Hill, deceased, v. Seth W.
19
     Layton and Benjamin I. Bone?
20
                    This is the first one, yes, ma'am, it
21
               Α.
     appears to be.
22
                    And tell me, how did you come to be the
23
               Q.
     expert in this case?
24
                    I was contacted by Mr. Calvin Brown.
25
```

And prior to that, had you done any work 1 Q. as a consultant or expert with Mr. Brown before? 2 3 Α. No, ma'am. 4 And had you done any work or been a consultant or an expert in any cases involving the 5 Attorney General's Office? 6 7 I'm sorry? Whose office? Α. The Attorney General? 8 9 Α. No, ma'am. And tell me -- you indicated that -- your 10 experience is that -- you were retained at what point 11 in this case? 12 I don't remember the date. 13 contacted by Mr. Brown. He gave me the initial set of 14 15 facts, and I believed that as long as they were accurate according to what he explained, I believed 16 that I could provide an opinion regarding those facts. 17 And you don't remember what date that 18 0. 19 was? I do not. I want to say it was some time 20 21 in maybe November or December of last year. Mr. Bartels, you indicated -- it looks 22 Q. like in your report, you're being compensated at the 23 rate of \$250 per hour; is that correct? 24 25 Yes, ma'am. Α.

And \$2,500 a day for your field work and 1 Q. 2 court testimony? 3 Α. Correct. How much so far have you billed the 4 Attorney General's Office? 5 I'm trying to remember. I didn't put it 6 on here and I didn't bring that with me. I believe I 7 billed close to 30 hours. 8 9 Ο. Okay. You're talking money-wise? 10 11 Q. Yes, sir. Actually, I think I could give you that. 12 It was \$6,750 so far. 13 And you indicate that your compensation 14 is not contingent upon the opinion that you've 15 16 provided? That is correct. 17 Now, let's talk about what's in this 18 0. first exhibit. It indicates -- we're on page 1. 19 says that you began your twenty-four-year career with 20 the Newport News Police Department and Patrol Division 21 as a patrol officer, answering calls for service. What 22 did that entail? 23 Basically, being assigned to a district, 2.4 and then the dispatch center would receive calls for 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0.

Α.

academy?

police assistance, and then they would assign them with an officer based on the district where that request has been made, and then who works in that district. So I would answer those calls for service as well as patrol that district and others for general police services -monitoring traffic, monitoring for anyway that police may be able to provide assistance. Prior to you being hired by the Newport News Police Department, what was your educational background? Α. Before the Newport News Police Department, I was attending Thomas Nelson Community College, and I was seeking an associate's degree in criminal justice. Did you receive that prior to being Q. employed by the Newport News Police Department? No, ma'am. They hired me before I was Α. able to complete it. Now, so you had a high school Okay. degree when you were employed by the Newport News Police Department? Yes, ma'am. Α.

I did, yes, ma'am.

And did you go through a police training

1 Q. Tell me where you went. I actually went to what is now the 2 Hampton Roads Criminal Justice Training Academy where I 3 currently work. I think it went by a different name 4 back then, but it was located on Thomas Street here in 5 the City of Hampton. 6 And is that where the Newport News Police 7 Department trained all of their employees who were with 8 the police department at that time? 9 At the time, yes, ma'am. 10 How many months did you go through that 11 0. training? 12 That academy was -- I don't recall 13 exactly. Approximately four months. 14 After the four months, then did you start 15 Q. working with the Newport News Police Department? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And were you working under supervision of 18 0. 19 someone? A field training officer, yes, ma'am. 20 Tell me what that field training officer 21 Q. did for you in terms of -- what was the role in helping 22 23 you? The field training officer's role was to 24 Α. act as a guide through field police work -- my 25

introduction to field police work, and also to ensure that I was completing my tasks properly, appropriately and completely, and to serve as a mentor and leader as a senior officer, and to set an example of what they think that I should do as a police officer.

Q. Now, when they were training you, for a field training officer, did they take the lead when you

- field training officer, did they take the lead when you were out in the field, or did you take the lead?
- A. It depends on what the call was.

 Initially, they would take the lead as kind of a demonstration to make sure I understood what was expected of me, and then the next time we got a similar call, they might let me take the lead.
- Q. Was it a teaching moment, I guess, is the question I have. For you, a learning, but in terms of the field training officer, was it a teaching moment from their perspective?
- A. I think they tried to find as many teaching moments as possible. That really was the underlying purpose of it. So, yes.
 - Q. Did you learn?
- A. I learned quite a bit. I had a very good --
 - Q. Did you have one or more than one?
 - A. I had three field training officers. My

first one was the best. He did an outstanding job. 1 Do you remember his name? 2 Q. Morgan Tiegens (phonetic). 3 Α. Morgan who? 4 0. Morgan Tiegens. Α. 5 Tiegens. I'm thinking if I remember him. Ο. 6 So after three years there, then you became a field 7 training officer; is that correct? 8 9 Α. Yes, ma'am. And then at the time you continued to Ο. 10 serve as a patrol for three additional years and 11 provide on-the-job training to new officers? 12 Yes, ma'am, doing exactly what my field 13 training officers did for me. 14 Do you recall any particular things that 15 Q. stood out when you were the field training officer 16 during that time? I know it was a while ago. 17 It was a while ago. I think the only Α. 18 thing that stood out to me was trying to emulate, like 19 I said, what my primary field training officer did for 20 me, and that was to do police work to the best of our 21 ability, to treat people with dignity and respect at 22 every opportunity, and also continuing and striving to 23 learn and improve. 24

25

At the time that you were the field

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

training officer, did you encounter any times where you had to draw your weapon? Α. Yes. Do you recall it specifically? 0. there many or just a few? There were many. We often did it during Α. times when we would search a building. We did it during times when we would conduct a high-risk traffic stop, or confront an armed subject. Unfortunately, there were many and I'm unable to really.... You don't remember any of them. Do any stick out? Not in particular. I mean, there were a couple of incidents of having discharged my weapon. But other than that, incidents of drawing it were actually quite frequent. Do you recall the ones where you actually had to discharge? Yes, ma'am. Can you describe those for me? Sure. The first one, I believe, was in Α. 1996 or 1997. I was on -- I don't even remember the nature of the call, but I was at a house, checking around the house due to a call that I was on. The neighbor had a pit bull that was unsecured. It looked

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

up and saw me and it charged straight at me. I drew and fired one shot, and that deterred the dog from attacking. I did hit him, but I wounded it. That deterred him from continuing his attack. That was the only time as a patrol officer. Did you want me to continue through all of the examples?

Q. Yes, if you remember.

The next one after that, I don't remember the order of these two, but they were also dogs. I was a police sergeant -- a patrol sergeant at the time. Again, one of them in particular was a call for a loose vicious dog that was harassing people and chasing That one, we had contained in an alley. were waiting for animal control to respond. I believe that one was 2009. I do remember that one was on Easter, but I don't remember the year. I think it was about 2009. We had him contained, waiting for animal control when at one point he just lunged in a vicious manner. So, I fired. Then my partner had deployed his taser pretty much at the same time. I missed. He connected. So after the taser cycle, the dog got up and ran away.

After that, there was another incident of -- I was on a call with a sergeant with two other officers. We were checking an alarm at 35th and

incidents.

Jefferson -- the Pizza King, I believe it was. We were back in the area of the drive-through, and a person who lived on 34th Street let her dog out. That was nighttime. She let the dog out to go to the bathroom, and then she went back inside -- left the dog outside. It saw us across the street, ran over to us, and had basically taken a position and was holding its ground and was challenging us, so to speak -- barking, growling, snarling.

I had my weapon drawn. Before we could really kind of discuss any options that we had, the dog lunged at me and I fired, wounding that dog, as well. All of those dogs ultimately lived through their

After that, I was on the SWAT Team. This incident occurred in November of 2011. It was November 6th. It was a Sunday night. We got a call for a SWAT response. I was a team leader at the time. As I was driving out there, our team commander called me up, and he said, "I'm not going to make, I'm sick, but it's a hostage situation, and they were in a vehicle."

I arrived out there, started organizing the team, gathering information, and then rapidly deploying whatever resources we had available -- snipers and such -- trying to coordinate with the

1.0

negotiators to get them ready to do what they needed to do so we could all work together in hopes of resolving this. By the time we arrived on the scene, the suspect had already said, I want a phone; you have ten minutes to get me a phone. By the time we got there, we were already past the ten minutes. So we weren't really sure what that meant as far as timeline goes.

The team got organized enough that we deployed out there. We were told -- the information we were given is that this started as an abduction at gunpoint, a boyfriend with his ex-girlfriend in York County. It turned into a vehicle pursuit which ended at the dead-end street where it currently was at a standstill.

So we deployed out there. When we got out there, I could see that the vehicle was running. It was November, so it was a little cold outside. The windows were -- the side and the back windows were fogging up, but the windshield was clear. The suspect was hanging articles of clothing up in the window to prevent us from being able to see inside.

So I engaged him verbally. I asked him what he wanted. He demanded a phone. I assured him that we were working on getting him a phone. He was upset that we didn't have one because everybody carries

2.4

a cell phone. Even in 2011, most people had a cell phone.

So, he and I continued to talk, and I asked him who he wanted to call. He continued to demand a phone. As he was talking, he would roll -- he and the young lady were in the back seat of a Camaro. He would roll the window down and speak through a small crack in the window that he created, then he would sit back and roll it back up. The window was fogged, so it was very difficult to see him.

So, we continued to talk. I was trying to get him to talk about something else while we were working on getting him a phone. Finally, he stopped at one point. He grabbed her and pulled her in his lap, which was not a good sign to me. Our snipper indicated he could see through the windshield that they were writing something on a pad of paper and passing it back and forth in the car, but we didn't know what that meant.

- Q. You mean between the hostage and the suspect?
- A. Yes, ma'am. So as I continued to talk to him, finally she answered. Well, let me back up. I said, who is it that you want to call? His name was Chris. I said, "Chris, who do you want to call?" He

said, "The phone is not for me, it's for her." I said,

"Well, why don't you let her out of the car and we'll

let her make a phone call, and she can call whoever she

wants." He said, "No. She's not going anywhere."

Chris had made no clear threats. We were only given

the information that was passed along to us in

combination with our independent observations as we're

out in the field.

Finally, Chris stopped talking to us. He let her go back into the passenger side in the back seat. I said, "Well, who is it that you want to call?" She responded, she said, "The phone is for me to call my kids." So I didn't take that to mean anything good, and at that point, I decided that Chris really had no intentions of resolving it with us, so it was really up to us to resolve it. I couldn't see him.

I was at the passenger side of a vehicle that was on the scene, looking through the driver side angle at the Camaro.

- O. At another car --
- A. Yes. The initial pursuing police car that we had taken over as a position.
 - Q. So you were using that as cover?
- A. Yes, ma'am. I had another officer at the back side of that same car. I moved to his position.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

```
I could see the female was on the passenger's side in
the back of the Camaro. I instructed that officer to
let me know immediately if she moved. I went back to
my position, as long as I didn't hear anything from
him.
              I was waiting for the suspect, Chris, to
get close enough to the window that I was 90-plus
percent certain as to where he was. When that
happened, I hadn't heard anything from the other
officer, meaning she was still over there in the clear,
so I opened fire on Chris. Then the team moved up from
a different location to extract her from the vehicle,
to make sure she was safe.
              So, she was safe?
         Ο.
         Α.
              Yes.
              You managed to get her?
                     So, she was safe. Chris ended up
         Α.
              Yes.
being armed, as a hindsight issue.
```

- Q. Were you aware he was armed at the time?
- A. No, ma'am. Nobody had seen a gun. We were going off of the information we were given, and the information as it developed on the scene.
- So, the other incident was April 29, 2017. It was a Saturday morning. At about five o'clock, we got a page for an active shooter in an

establishment called The Pondo in Newport News. So, like I usually do, I called dispatch on my way down there because, as the team leader, I want information about what we are responding to so I can start formulating ideas in my head as to what resources we are going to need and a general idea of how I want to handle it. So I called them, and they said a man was in a bar, and he pulled out a gun and shot the bartender in the chest, and they can't make any headway talking to him. He's irrational. No shots had been fired for a few minutes now.

We responded down there. The patrol officers had set up on the establishment. They were actually outside when it evolved. It was an active shooter for them, but they had all their perimeter positions. So when we got there -- on my way there, I heard the dispatcher indicate that the bartender who was on the phone since before we got all the way up to the point we went through the door, he told the dispatcher, after he had been shot in the chest for some time, that he was cold and shaking and felt like he was passing out. So I understood the urgency in getting through.

So, when I got on the scene, one of the other team leaders arrived first. Although I was the

senior team leader by default, whichever one gets there first and begins to develop the plan, takes charge of that plan.

I arrived on the scene and started grabbing a few pieces of gear, and I jumped in with a small group that was getting ready to go across the street to go provide aid to the bartender. They put me up front. I'm assuming it's because I'm the most senior and experienced member of the team, they wanted that experience up front. Quite honestly, this was the type of a call that was a first for us even though I had been on the team for 19 years at that point.

preparations. We breached the door and went inside.

As I was waiting for the rest of the team to come in behind me, I started peering through the next glass door that stood between us and the inside of the establishment. Right to where he was described to be was the suspect -- about 42 or 43 feet back in the establishment -- behind a partially opened or partially closed door, with a gun in his hand, waiting for us to come through. So, I engaged him immediately.

We entered, and then I covered the suspect, and they got all of the hostages out. There were two others along with the gentleman that had been

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we got them out. We got aid to him, and then shot. the suspect was -- he was deceased. You engaged the suspect. What do you 0. mean? What did you do? I shot him, yes, ma'am. I want to Α. apologize if that sounds insensitive given the nature of why we're here, but it's easier for me to present it in a factual way. I don't mean for it to come across insensitive. We appreciate that. Do you recall any Ο. other incidents? Those were the only incidents of having Α. to discharge my firearm, ma'am. Now, still on page 1, you said you also obtained your state certifications as a General Defensive Tactics, Driving and Firearms Instructor. Where did you obtain those certifications? The certifications were through the Α. academy. We would go back there. They would put on instructor-level classes. We would attend and then finish off certifications through an apprenticeship under a previously certified instructor. It says you actively trained Newport News

Academy recruits and experienced officers in the use of

force as a support instructor to the department's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

training division and regional police academy. Is that the academy where you're working at now? Yes, ma'am. Α. And what did you do in terms of -- it 0. says you trained Newport News Academy recruits? Yes, ma'am. We had what we called a Α. pre-academy, and we would take our trainees prior to the academy starting and work with them a little bit. Then we had a post-academy. The regional academy trains lots of different agencies. They don't get policy specific. They stay fairly generic. When the recruits would come back to us, we would give them department specific training that was related directly to our policies or the things that we chose to do in our department. Now, how did you create your policies Q. with the Newport News Police Department? How did you create -- who did the policies? I wouldn't create the polices. Obviously, the chief would ultimately sign off on them. Depending on the nature of the policies, either the chief would write it or they would take an existing

And what was it based on?

policy and just amend it so that the current or

existing chief was satisfied with what it said.

criminal justice --

- A. Department policies are specific to a particular chief's philosophy in many cases. Many different agencies have policies that are very, very similar, but in some ways, one chief may alter their policy on pursuits, for example, in a way that a neighboring jurisdiction would not. So they're kind of specific to a chief.
- Q. So at this point, you said you "actively trained Newport News Academy recruits and experienced officers in the use of force as a support instructor to the department's Training Division and regional police academy."
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Now, how long had you been with the police department at that point?
- A. At that point, I'd probably been with them about four years or so, maybe five years.
- Q. Tell me, how did you go about training officers in the use of force at the time?
- A. The role of the support instructor would be to assist during in-service. An in-service class might consist of 20 to 25 police officers attending training. So, if we were going to refresh on handcuffing, the training division might not have

2.4

enough instructors to circulate around the room and help everybody, so they would call in other support instructors that had the instructor's certification at the department to come in and assist. So, although my primary role was that of patrol officer, they would reassign me temporarily to help with the in-service class because I had the needed instructor's certification.

- Q. In 2000, you transferred to the training division where you served eight years as a training staff member. You were assigned to review all use of force reports generated by the police department, which was approximately 100 to 150 force reports per year. I was curious about that when I read it, the 100 to 150 per year. Describe what the process was. You received the complaints?
- A. No, ma'am, they weren't complaints. They were just documented use of force from the patrol officers in the field.
 - O. Tell me how that worked.
- A. The policy dictates when an officer is generally required to report a use of force. And as an example, our policy might dictate that if you have to use force to overcome resistance by a suspect, they would require a use of force report. If you did a

2.3

takedown of somebody -- in other words, you forced them to the ground during the course of an arrest, that would require a use of force report. If you used a baton or pepper spray or a firearm, or struck somebody with a hand or a foot, then those would require a use of force report.

- Q. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but was it designed to try to help make the officers better officers?
- A. I think it had several purposes. One was that any responsible agency wants to track its activity and monitor it. Another was, at least as far as my role goes, when I reviewed them, I looked to see what officers were doing in the field as compared to what we were training them in during in-service training.
- Q. So you would take these reports, then you'd do what with them?
- A. Review them. I would just look at the circumstances. Was this a call for service-generated activity? Was this an officer-generated activity?

 What was the arrest for? What did the suspect do? How did the officer respond? It's just the general overall circumstances to see what our officers were commonly experiencing and how they were commonly responding, and how they were handling and using their equipment. That

1.3

would give us the opportunity to see where we needed to do regular maintenance training with our officers.

- Q. So when you found a problem, then what would you do?
- A. It would depend on what the problem is or was. It might just be something that I would make note of, or it might be something that I would actually make a phone call to one of our assistant chiefs and say, I think we need to sit down and talk about this.
- Q. And say it did not rise to the level where you took any action against the officer, but it gave you some concerns where you thought the officer needed, what, additional training maybe?
- A. That did happen, yes. I would suggest, at the very least, if I thought it was problematic enough to address it, I would let the agency know I wanted to sit down with that officer and at least debrief the incident and have a discussion about it.
- Q. Do you remember a couple of incidents where you actually took some action?
- A. Yes. We had one incident where a gentleman who had been shot was, as far as the officers knew was the victim of a shooting. He was inside of his apartment. He was present with the officers and the medic. The medics looked at his injury and

indicated that it appeared to them that it might be a life-threatening injury.

The man was very well-aware of his circumstances, his surroundings, and his mental acuity was good. He indicated he didn't want to go to the hospital. The medics told the officer on the scene that he needed to go to the hospital, but he was refusing treatment -- knowingly, voluntarily and intelligently refusing treatment.

The officer, pursuant to medics saying he needed to go to the hospital, displayed a taser and said, "Get on the stretcher or I'm going to tase you."

The gentleman reluctantly agreed to get on the stretcher, but when he wasn't moving fast enough, they went to physically assist him. He pulled his arm away, and the officer considered that to be some sort of a swing or resistance towards the medic, so he tased the gentleman. They put him on the stretcher and took him to the hospital, and he continued to maintain he didn't want to be treated.

I contacted our assistant chief at the time, and I let him know I think this is problematic.

It's not for me to decide where this is constitutionally as a factual matter, but I think that nonetheless, if it was turned into an issue, I think we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would have a problem, so we need to at least address it and then get with the officers and make sure we have all the information and then kind of debrief it with them. Then the agency can decide as a disciplinary matter whether or not they wanted to do anything with that. Disciplinary issues were not my concern.

- O. Was that involving Internal Affairs?
- A. If that's the direction the agency wanted it to go, yes.
 - Q. Do you remember another one?
- We had one officer who responded to Α. Yes. a domestic between a mother and her son. The son, who was, I believe, a juvenile in mid to late teens, was clearly not happy that the officer was there. officer was trying to talk to him. He was refusing to be cooperative with the officer. He was moving in and out of the apartment because the front door was open. And he went to move past the officer and intentionally brushed up on the officer. The officer momentarily grabbed him by the throat and pushed him up against the door for about one second and then let go. He didn't clamp down on his neck or restrict his airflow or ability to breathe, but for us, it was essentially a de facto policy violation because our policy prohibited that.

That was really nothing more than sitting with the officer and talking about how we don't permit that. It's a violation of policy. He said, "Well, I was trained to do that," and I said, "Not by us." He said, "If the chief has a problem with this, I'll bring in my martial arts instructor and he'll say that I was trained to do this." I reiterated to the officer that that doesn't matter. Your martial arts training does not control over a policy restriction. He says, "Well, I don't really care. I would do it again." That officer was released. Not by me. It was just my job to have that conversation with him.

- Q. And you wrote lesson plans for classroom instruction on use of force as well as for physical skills, intermediate force options and use of deadly force. Now, tell me what qualified you for writing the lesson plans.
- A. It was one of my assigned responsibilities within the training division. I would agree that just because somebody holds an instructor's certification, especially a police officer, doesn't necessarily qualify them to write a lesson plan regarding use of force. They can, but it was always an interest of mine to do quite a bit of homework and research and seek guidance from people that knew more

1.8

about it than me in preparing those types of lesson plans. That's what I did. My agency trusted me to do it, so I continued in doing it and learned a lot as I went.

- Q. Did you ever get the city attorney's office involved when you created these lesson plans?
- A. The only time I got the city attorney's office involved was when I wrote a training bulletin on the Ronald Armstrong v. Village of Pinehurst case when the Fourth Circuit ruled on that matter. It's not as clear as I would like it to be. I took what I interpreted from reading that case, created a training bulletin. My lieutenant and I, who, at the time was Morgan Tiegens -- got reassigned to the training division -- he and I went and sat down with the city attorney. I handed him the training bulletin. I said, "This is how I interpret this case. Can you please review it and tell us if we are either on or off track?" He called us back, and he said your training bulletin interprets it the same way that I do.
 - O. Who was that? Do you remember?
 - A. Bob Pealo.
 - O. Who?
- A. Bob Pealo. He attended all of our shooting debriefs, and then that was primarily how I

knew Mr. Pealo.

- Q. When you had your classes, did you ever have any attorneys from the city attorney's office to come and teach any of your classes for you?
- A. We had Commonwealth's attorneys on occasion come to teach our classes. We did run into a few problems doing that. One year we had a four-hour block set aside for Miranda and some other constitutional issues. It started out with one of the senior Commonwealth attorneys teaching the four-hour block. By the end of the session -- not the four-hour block, but by the end of the year, they had the junior Commonwealth attorney in their place to come in and teach it. What was supposed to be four hours turned into about an hour and a half. That wasn't the only time we had them come in. We did have them come in other times.

There were times when we saw things a little bit differently, there were some things that I observed in the use of force reports that I wanted to address, as sometimes the Commonwealth's Attorney's Office wouldn't address them the way we wanted them to.

- Q. Do you recall any particular ones?
- A. Yes, specifically issues of pat-downs, a frisk search. Officers would generally, when asked,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Why did you pat the suspect down," would routinely give the answer, "I did it for my safety." I was over years and years impressing upon them that that is not justification. That's the underlying purpose for the pat-down. But it provides no justification whatsoever. The Commonwealth's attorney came in. They were teaching pat-downs. They said, "Do you know why you do pat-downs?" The officers engaged them a little bit. They said, "For your safety." So on the break, I pulled them aside, and I said, "Can you please not present it that way?" I said that I don't have a problem with you explaining that that's the purpose of a pat-down, but I really need you to get into the details relating to justification -- the types of suspicious factors that they need to observe and articulate in order to justify a pat-down. They wanted to go back and forth about -well, it is for your safety. I reassured them that I understand that, but that's not what I want the officers to understand and then move on. That's not enough.

A. Honestly, I'm not even sure. I think that those Commonwealth attorneys were replaced by some

others that came out later.

- Q. There was a policy -- there is a policy dealing with the police department and the Commonwealth's Attorney's Office that indicates that if there are some felony charges that are brought against suspects, that they have to be cleared by the Commonwealth's Attorney's Office. Are you familiar with that?
- A. Not exactly. It almost sounds like, are you referring to the code that says that if a civilian wants to obtain a felony warrant, they either have to through the Commonwealth Attorney's Office or involve the police department?
- Q. No. What I mean is, in terms of felony arrests -- obtaining warrants by police officers in felonies, they have to consult with the Commonwealth's Attorney's Office in Newport News?
- A. If that is a policy, it's a new one. It was never like that while I was there. All the way up to my retirement, it was never like that.
- Q. Here you have on page 2 you were assigned to oversee the field training program. What program was developed? Was it in-house at the Newport News Police Department?
 - A. The field training program is what we